

brightly during these continuing days of conflict and grief.

David was known for his dedication to his family and his love of country. Today and always, David will be remembered by family members, friends and fellow Hoosiers as a true American hero, and we honor the sacrifice he made while dutifully serving his country.

As I search for words to do justice in honoring David's sacrifice, I am reminded of President Lincoln's remarks as he addressed the families of the fallen soldiers in Gettysburg:

We cannot dedicate, we cannot consecrate, we cannot hallow this ground. The brave men, living and dead, who struggled here, have consecrated it, far above our poor power to add or detract. The world will little note nor long remember what we say here, but it can never forget what they did here.

This statement is just as true today as it was nearly 150 years ago, as I am certain that the impact of David's actions will live on far longer than any record of these words.

It is my sad duty to enter the name of David A Wilkey, Jr. in the RECORD of the U.S. Senate for his service to this country and for his profound commitment to freedom, democracy and peace. When I think about this just cause in which we are engaged, and the unfortunate pain that comes with the loss of our heroes, I hope that families like David's can find comfort in the words of the prophet Isaiah who said, "He will swallow up death in victory; and the Lord God will wipe away tears from off all faces."

May God grant strength and peace to those who mourn, and may God be with all of you, as I know He is with David.

WORLD DAY OF REMEMBRANCE

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I am proud to add my voice in support of H. Con. Res. 86, a resolution supporting the goals and ideals of a world day of remembrance for road crash victims.

Each crash might seem to us, in its immediacy, like an isolated tragedy, but when we step back, we see that each has its part in a global crisis that is deepening year by year. The day of remembrance—set by the United Nations General Assembly for the third Sunday of November—is not just for the 40,000 people who die in road crashes each year in America. It is for the 1.2 million who die in crashes in every part of the world and for the staggering 20 to 50 million who are injured. In fact, the World Health Organization predicts that, by the year 2020, the death rate from crashes each year will surpass the death rate from AIDS.

True, many of these crashes are unique disasters, but that leaves many more whose causes are systemic and preventable. Unsafe roads, poor medical facilities, and inadequate driver education all contribute their share to the death toll. Unsurprisingly, the toll is highest, and rising, in middle- and low-income countries. Road safety, then, is an issue of economic justice.

On the world day of remembrance, we will recall all of the victims of road crashes; we keep their families in our thoughts, and we pray for the full recovery of those still living. But our compassion for individuals must not obscure the bigger picture. "We have to change the way we think about crashes," said Diza Gonzaga, the mother of a car-crash victim in Brazil. "The majority of people think that crashes are due to fate. We have to think of a crash as a preventable event."

EMPLOYEE FREE CHOICE ACT

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, I have always supported organized labor, for a simple reason: When workers join together and act collectively, they can achieve economic gains that they would never be able to negotiate individually. History tells us this: Union members were on the front lines fighting for the 40-hour workweek, the minimum wage, employer-provided health insurance and pensions. Organized labor led the way in passing legislation to ensure fair and safe workplaces and in championing many other employee safety nets, including Social Security, Medicare, and the Family and Medical Leave Act.

Unfortunately, continued forward progress is not inevitable. We have seen this in recent years, as union membership has declined, wages have stagnated, the numbers of uninsured have risen, and private companies have been allowed to default on their pensions, threatening the retirement security of millions of Americans. It is clear to me that to rebuild economic security, we must first rebuild strong and vibrant unions. And to rebuild strong unions, we must first reduce unfair barriers to union organizing.

To rebuild the promise of health care and pension benefits, we must reduce unfair barriers to union organizing. A recent study by the Institute for America's Future confirms this. By comparing organizing campaigns in the United States and Canada, the study found that more worker-friendly certification rules increase union participation.

Of course, this is all just common sense. If you reduce the barriers to workers joining unions, more workers will join. But what does it mean? Well, as this study makes clear, by passing the Employee Free Choice Act, and by making it easier for workers to band together, more than 3.5 million Americans would be able to secure health coverage, and nearly 3 million more Americans would have access to employer-based pensions.

Middle class families in this country have an increasingly difficult time making ends meet. More than 47 million Americans lack health insurance—including 251,000 Iowans—and even those with coverage find that if often covers less and less. This should not be happening in America. When productivity rises, everyone should see their

fair share of that gain, but in the past several years, increasing productivity has gone hand-in-hand with a growing wage gap. According to the non-partisan Congressional Research Service:

Adjusted for inflation, average worker pay rose 8 percent from 1995 to 2005; median CEO pay at the 350 largest firms rose about 150 percent over the same period.

In my home State of Iowa, real median household income fell by 3.4 percent between 1999 and 2005, dropping from \$48,142 in 1999 to \$46,500 in 2005.

By passing the Employee Free Choice Act, by giving workers a seat at the table, we can start to reverse this negative trend. Union participation in the workplace means everybody wins. When employees have a voice—not just to ask for better wages and benefits, but to make suggestions about how to do things better—employers benefit, too. Union employees take pride in their work and work to get more training. And they are happy to help find other efficiencies in the operation, because they get a share of the savings.

Unfortunately, scaremongers are trying to tell us that the Employee Free Choice Act takes away employee rights to a "secret ballot." Nothing could be further from the truth. This bill does not establish a new election process; it merely requires employers to honor employee choice. Right now, the company gets to decide whether it will recognize a majority sign-up vote. Under the aptly named Employee Free Choice Act, the employees get to decide. If the workers want to use the National Labor Relations Board process, they are perfectly free to do so. But, as we know from hard experience, that process can be threatening and intimidating to many employees.

In addition to making it easier to form a union in the first place, the Employee Free Choice Act provides for arbitration for the first contract. I know from personal experience how simply stalling negotiations of a contract can bust a union and cause major economic hardship for people. My brother Frank was a proud UAW member for 23 years. He worked at the old Delavan manufacturing plant in Des Moines. In 23 years, he missed only 5 days of work—all of them because of blizzards. He made a good living. He was a dedicated employee. During those 23 years, there was never one strike or work stoppage. Delavan made good money.

But then Old Man Delavan decided to retire and sell the company. A group of investors bought it. And one of the new owners bragged that, "If you want to see how to get rid of a union, come to Delavan, and we'll show you how."

He made good on that boast. When the contract came up, the company put forward conditions that no union could agree to in good conscience. The owners refused to budge, and the UAW local had no choice but to go out on strike for the first time. When they did, the company brought in replacement workers. It was a long, bitter

strike. And after 1 year as allowed by labor law—they had a decertification vote. Who votes to decertify the union? The workers who are there—the replacement workers. They didn't want to lose their jobs, so they voted to decertify.

So after 23 years Frank was out of a job. He lost his union job with excellent pay, vacation time, and a pension. And what does a 54-year-old deaf man do in a predicament like that? He got a job as a janitor at a shopping mall—working nights for minimum wage, with no benefits and no vacation time. It didn't just destroy his livelihood. It broke his spirit.

My friends, that is what happens when unions are weakened and destroyed. It jeopardizes our standard of living and our whole middle-class way of life. And, my friends, that is exactly what is happening, today, to tens of millions of people all across America.

I quote a December, 2005 letter signed by 11 Nobel Peace Prize laureates calling for greater international labor rights:

Even the wealthiest nation in the world—the United States of America—fails to adequately protect workers' rights to form unions and bargain collectively. Millions of U.S. workers lack any legal protection to form unions and thousands are discriminated against every year for trying to exercise these rights.

It is time to level the playing field for workers in this country. It is time to give them a truly free and fair election process to decide if they want representation in the workplace. It's time to pass the Employee Free Choice Act.

HONORING AUNG SAN SUU KYI

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I rise today with Senator MCCONNELL and the cochair of the United States Senate Women's Caucus on Burma, Senator KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON, to introduce a resolution honoring Nobel Peace laureate and leader of Burma's democratic opposition, Aung San Suu Kyi.

We are joined in this effort by Senator BOXER, Senator MCCAIN, Senator MIKULSKI, Senator CLINTON, Senator LINCOLN, Senator MURKOWSKI, and Senator DOLE.

Our resolution: honors Aung San Suu Kyi for her courage and devotion to the people of Burma and their struggle for democracy, and; calls for the immediate release of Suu Kyi and other political prisoners by the ruling military junta, the State Peace and Development Council.

Two days ago, we celebrated the 62nd birthday of Aung San Suu Kyi. Sadly, she spent the day as she has for most of the past 17 years: alone and under house arrest. And just last month, the State Peace and Development Council renewed her sentence for yet another year.

Yet I am heartened to know that the Senate and the international community are coming together to ensure

that the abuses and injustices of the military junta in Burma do not go unnoticed.

Earlier this year, 45 United States Senators signed a letter to United Nations Secretary General Ban Ki-Moon urging him to get personally involved in pressing for Suu Kyi's release.

In a recent letter addressed to the State Peace and Development Council, a distinguished group of 59 former heads of state—including former Filipino President Corazon Aquino, former Czech President Vaclav Havel, former British Prime Minister John Major and former Presidents Bill Clinton, Jimmy Carter, and George H.W. Bush—called for the regime to release Aung San Suu Kyi.

They correctly noted that "Aung San Suu Kyi is not calling for revolution in Burma, but rather peaceful, nonviolent dialogue between the military, National League for Democracy, and Burma's ethnic groups."

The calls for Suu Kyi's release are also coming from Burma's neighbors.

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations, ASEAN, now recognizes that Burma's actions are not an "internal matter" but a significant threat to peace and stability in the region. At a meeting of senior diplomats last month, ASEAN made a clear call for Aung San Suu Kyi's release.

Last month, the women of the United States Senate came together to form the Women's Caucus on Burma to express our solidarity with Suu Kyi, call for her immediate release urge the United Nations to pass a binding resolution on Burma.

At our inaugural event, we were pleased to be joined by First Lady Laura Bush, who added her own voice to those calling for peace and democracy in Burma.

And last week, Senator MCCONNELL along with 58 of our colleagues introduced legislation to renew the import ban on Burma for another year.

Our message is clear: We will not remain silent, we will not stand still until Aung San Suu Kyi and all political prisoners are released and democratic government is restored in Burma.

I urge my colleagues to support this resolution.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO JUDGE RALPH BURNETT

• Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, today I honor the memory of the Honorable Ralph M. Burnett, a Maryland district court judge and a pioneer in the fight against prostate cancer. He was an exemplary citizen of our State, and his contributions to the Maryland judicial system and the advocacy groups he worked with will not be forgotten. On May 9, 2007, Judge Burnett died from complications related to prostate cancer at the age of 64.

Judge Burnett was born in 1943 in Seneca Falls, NY. After graduating from St. Paul's High School in 1961, he earned a bachelor's degree from Dickinson College in 1965. A Vietnam veteran, Judge Burnett was stationed in Korea as a first lieutenant in the U.S. Army until 1969. After returning to America, he enrolled in the Baltimore School of Law, where he received his law degree in 1972.

Judge Burnett began his private practice in Oakland, MD, in 1972 and he lived in the Oakland area until his passing. He served as Garrett County's State attorney from 1974 until 1978, and in December 1993, he was appointed as an associate district court judge for Garrett County by then-Maryland Governor William Donald Schaefer. Judge Burnett was a member of the executive committee of the Maryland Judicial Conference and served on the editorial board of Justice Matters until his death.

After being diagnosed with prostate cancer in 1996, Judge Burnett became a devoted advocate and tenacious leader for the prostate cancer community. In 1997, he was elected to the board of the National Prostate Cancer Coalition, NPCC, and served as chairman of the organization from 1999 until 2001. Under Judge Burnett's leadership, the National Prostate Cancer Coalition tripled in size during his tenure. After stepping down as chairman, Judge Burnett remained active as a member of the board and continued to pursue patient rights and greater treatment options for men with prostate cancer.

Judge Burnett was an advocate for Johns Hopkins University's Specialized Program of Research Excellence, SPORE, and also served on Department of Defense, DOD, research panels. As a member of the DOD Prostate Cancer Research Program Integration Panel, Judge Burnett worked to find the best ways to leverage the Department's investment in prostate cancer research. He was also a committed member of the Consortium Panel of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program, which discovered the lethal phenotype that causes prostate cancer.

Mr. President, I ask my colleagues to join me in extending condolences to Judge Burnett's family and friends and in expressing appreciation for his life of community service and his commitment to prostate cancer research.●

125TH ANNIVERSARY OF EDINBURG, NORTH DAKOTA

• Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I am pleased today to recognize a community in North Dakota that will be celebrating its 125th anniversary. On July 6-8, the residents of Edinburg will gather to celebrate their community's history and founding.

The town of Edinburg is located on the edge of the Red River Valley and the western prairies. Although it is a small town, Edinburg has the drive, dreams, and heart of cities ten times